

FS

FTD Form 164

[REDACTED] 12
Monroe, Ohio
July 31, 1962

Department Of The Air Force
Office of the Secretary
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sirs:

I have heard that you have stopped investigating unidentified flying objects.

Since you are not investigating them could you please send me a copy of the questionnaire that you send to people?

Do you still get reports from crackpots about seeing "flying saucers"?

Thank you very much.

Yours truly,
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Completed 8 August 62

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 29 July 64 Night	2. LOCATION Cleveland, Ohio
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION AIRCRAFT
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS Multiple	No data presented to indicate that objects could not have been a/c sightings.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Stated	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Object moving faster than EMO Satellite in flight. From East to West. Smaller red light about 5th mag travelling ahead of the object. Observed through EM. Observed on previous occasions. Also observed object of third magnitude. These objects moved from IE to SW.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual (BK)	
7. COURSE Westerly	
8. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	(See Case 15 July Same Observer)

Cleveland, Ohio
7/29/64

Dear Sirs,
Tonight, July 29, was exceptionally clear. I was looking in the direction of Andromeda when I saw this reddish light, almost equal to that of Arcturus in Boötes. It was traveling at a very fast rate of speed as compared with the Echo satellites. When I scrutinized closer, I saw a smaller red light, almost equal to that of a 5th magnitude star, traveling ahead of the object (I was observing it with a pair of binoculars). I saw this object before. It was the same reddish object which I observed tonight. This time, I saw another bright light appear next to it as it was moving across the sky. 131


This smaller light was this
time equal to that of a third
magnitude star and was easily
seen without optical aid.

Earlier this month I had
erroneously reported the Echo
satellites as VFO; however,
I thought that this object
deserved some attention because
of its great speed and the
incessant small light
accompanying it.

It traveled northeast to
southwest through the
constellations Cygnus, Lyra,
all the way to Ophiuchus and
then it went behind the
sun.

If this turns out to be
another satellite, I'll feel
like a complete moron and
will not bother you again.
Please notify me if you know
what it is.

Sincerely,



~~████████████████████~~
Cleveland, Ohio



Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio

T DEW



HEADQUARTERS
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO



REPLY TO

ATTN OF: TDEW

SUBJECT: Request for UFO Information [REDACTED]

TO: Hq USAF SAFOI PB (Mrs. Gaiser)

We have received a letter from [REDACTED] Cleveland, Ohio. Mr. [REDACTED] reported observation of a satellite like object on 29 July 64. Motion of this object was to the west. Since this conflicts with known satellite orbits, evaluation of a satellite is ruled out. The duration of Mr. [REDACTED] sighting was omitted; however, he indicated that the speed was considerably faster than ECHO. It is likely that Mr. [REDACTED] observed a high flying aircraft, since air traffic in this area is very heavy. His sighting is considered as a possible aircraft observation.

FOR THE COMMANDER

[Signature]
ERIC T. de JONCKHEERE
Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology
and Subsystems



YOU - THE NUCLEUS OF SECURITY!

29 Jul 64
Cleveland, Ohio
For a/c

August 17, 1964

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]:

This is in response to your recent letter concerning an observation of a satellite-like object on July 29, 1964.

Since the motion of this object was to the west, conflicting with known satellite orbits, and also since you indicated that the speed was considerably faster than ECHO, it is possible that you observed a high-flying aircraft since air traffic in your area is very heavy.

Sincerely,

MASTON M. JACKS
Major, USAF
Public Information Division
Office of Information

Mr. [REDACTED]
Cleveland, Ohio

1. DATE - TIME GROUP DR 29 July 64 NOT REP.	2. LOCATION Cleveland, Ohio
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS Two	Request for additional information made but form 164 not returned.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Two moving objects which seemed flourescent.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Not Reported	
8. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

U. S. AIR FORCE


Washington D. C.

Gentlemen,

On JULY 29 1964 I Wrote to LEWIS
RESEARCH CENTER REGARDING TO TWO
MOVING objects which seemed Florescent.

They Could not give me any information
and told me to write to you.

~~I would~~ I would appreciate your
help

yours truly


P.S.

PLEASE REPLY AS SOON AS POSSABLE.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR



U. S. Air Force 7 AUG
Washington D. C.

U. S. A.

SHOE

U F O

OAS-EB-1
10 Aug 64